

Mark Krueger
939 Noll Road
Meyersville, Texas 77974
(361) 485-1910

July 15, 2008

Office of the Chief Clerk, MC105
P.O. Box 13087
Austin, Texas 78711-3087

RE: Permit Application # UR03075 by Uranium Energy Corp.
And Request for an Exempted Aquifer

Dear Chief Clerk:

I respectfully request a public meeting in Goliad County, Texas with the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality and Uranium Energy Corporation regarding Proposed Permit No. UR03075 and UEC's request for an exempted aquifer.

I request a contested case hearing on proposed permit no. UR03075 and UEC's request for an Aquifer Exemption.

I am in support of the written request made by the Law Firm of Blackburn & Carter, dated September 6, 2007, on behalf of Goliad County Commissioners Court, Goliad County, Texas for a contested case hearing on UEC's Proposed Permit No. UR03075 Application for a Class III Underground Injection Control Permit and UEC's request for an exempted aquifer.

No scientific research has been performed to suggest that the migration of radionuclides, carcinogens and other known contaminants from the mining site will not occur, but only "schools of thought" or theories. This particular aquifer zone or portion contains two geological faults which lend themselves to vertical movement of the water that passes through them, therefore violating the conditions of "confined aquifer".

For a uranium roll front to occur, very specific conditions must have existed in the specific location of the aquifer in question. Once the uranium and its daughters are liberated, they must find the exact reducing conditions to precipitate as they did initially. Therefore, the possibility that liberated radioactive contaminants and heavy metals may travel or migrate away and continue to migrate in an oxidized state from the mining site exists to an extreme degree.

A public water supply exists only feet away from the proposed aquifer exemption, namely the St. Peter's Lutheran Church on F.M. 1961. This particular PWS is directly down gradient from the southeast corner of this proposed aquifer exemption and obviously draws its water supply from this specific aquifer zone.

UEC has already demonstrated its willingness to violate State and Federal requirements when they were cited by TRRC for leaving more than 70 boreholes open for an extended period of time, allowing rainwater to rush into the holes. This rainwater carried not only oxygen down into these holes, but also carried contaminants that were left on top of the ground from drilling the bore holes. This example of disregard for regulation and for our environment is not acceptable and should be viewed as an indicator of future non-compliance.

47 private wells exist within one kilometer of the proposed mining site, most of which provide water for human consumption. The proximity of this proposed mining site is too close to these water wells to be considered “safe”, again as no scientific evidence exists to suggest that it is safe.

I formally oppose the approval of UIC Permit UR03075 and the proposed Aquifer Exemption in relation to this permit.

Respectfully,

Mark Krueger
939 Noll Road
Meyersville, Texas 77974
(361) 485-1910